p. F. IX-4

1925. (Migrn.)

File No. 304-4

evious References
413-20-3-4
" 1-2

Serial Nos. 1-4

ıter Refeı ences

General and Revenue Secretariat.

rial Nos. 4

Part B for June 1927.

SUBJECT

Reports that the distribution of Thes the mus-
ter on the Rabbara clay has been done as
agreed to by the Divisees, leaving the party if
us, aggrieved by this arrangements to establ
ish in a Court of Law.

4-0 yr 2393 dated 28 6 27 To the Mig. Comr.

Recorded

No. C 1171 of 25-26 and one Taneble

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

Dated Bangalore, 8th May 1926.

To

From 4741 4

C. S. Palaniswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

GEN. & REV SECRETARIAT. 11 MAY 1926 MUZRAI D1338

Muz 304-25-1

GENL. & REV SECTT. Br. Muz 11. MAY 1926 G. D. SECTION.

R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of --

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to para 3 of your letter No.3184/Muz.413-20-2 dated 8/11th March 1921 (from the Secretary to Government, (9) Muzrai Department) requesting the submission of the records together with the statements of certain influential persons for -- further orders of Government in connection with the dispute among the devotees of Sri Venkataramanaswami at Sampige in the Gubbi Taluk about the distribution of Tirtham near the car on the --- occasion of Rathotsavam I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No.C.1036/20-21 dated 13th April 1926 stating that the Rathotsavam which had ceased for 4 years continuously was ----- celebrated without any hitch during 1923-24 and 24-25 during -- which period Tirtham was given to the devotees near the car as they were all agreeable to the arrangement. The Amildar has recorded the statements of some important persons to this effect and those are herein enclosed. Since the practice of distributing Tirtha near the car has been acquiesced in during the Rathotsava of the past two years, the matter may be allowed to rest.

The connected records received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter under reference are herewith submitted for further orders Government as desired.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C.S. Palaniswamy Iyer

Muzrai Commissioner.

Dft. G.O. according to helow for appl. 8/5 The M.C's suggestion 27.5.26. 27/5/26 to drop the matter may be approved in.

9/5

133[illegible]
11[illegible] 36[illegible] 25

in the circumstances reported.

3 Draft, recording, is put up for approval.

28/8

Rev. Secy

4 It is not clear what the compromise effected is Presumably the witness is not obstructed in the temple before the deity is taken out to the car but it is obstructed after the deity is installed on the car (vide Dc's letter No 1771 dated 22.2.21 in File No 413) It is advisable to have a record of what the compromise is to prevent future disputes Please ask Muzrai commissioner for definite information

Dr
30.5.26

Draft
31/8

[illegible]
[illegible]

5 Dftr. Srinivas as Special
Dr
1.6.26 1/6 Dsrs
M 1/6

K.2.Zo Muzrai Commr No 1563 of 4.6.26
a record of what the compromise is, to prevent future disputes. Requesting him to send up.
SLS
7/6

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 301 of 192 5.

Serial No.

3

From, the Muzrai Comr.
Letter no. C. 1171 Dy 26-5-27
Reports that the distribution of
Tirtha near the car on the Ratotsa
day which was wanted by the
Srivaishnavas has been done
as agreed to by the devotees at
Agreeing in the resolution of
the Dharmadarshi, the
D.C. recommends that Agra Tirtha
may be given to Kadambi
family, 2nd Tirtha, to the Stala
jars, and then to others, and
the M.C. recommends approval
to this recommendation, the pa
if any aggrieved by this arrang
ment being asked to establish
its right in a civil court.
Submit. for ordrs. & whether
The recm. of the
7.6.1927. M.C. maybe approved
7.6.27
& Please draft
recording
MV
Dft. G.O. recording, is submitted 8/6
9.6.1927 BG 9/6/27

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—5,000—30-3-27

App. F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mucr File No. 304 of 1927

Serial No.	
	10 Maybe appd mw 16/6
	Secd Member) 11 mucr 20/6/27
	12
4	To, Mucr Comms No 2393 & 28-6-27 Records the above Communication.
X	

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNOR OF COUNCIL
16 JUNE 1927
No. 7601

8734

B

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—5,000—30-3-27

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 4/6/26

Signed by Mr. N.

Section mm

File No. 30425

Serial No 2

No. 1563

Circulefile

To The Muz. Comte

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. C. 1141 of 10th May 1926 reporting on the dispute about the distribution of Tikiri among the devotees of Sri Venkatanamana Swamy temple at Sampige, Subbi Taluk, on the occasion of the Ratotsavam, I am directed to observe that it is not

over.

ear what the terms of
the Compromise effected are
and to request that you will
be so good as to send up
a record of what the
Compromise is, to prevent
future disputes.

(2) The records are herewith
returned for record re Sales

I have &c.

1/6

(Sd) J. Rae Secretary

1/6/26

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

71/
one & one file

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, dated 25th May 1927
26

FROM,

P.G. D'Souza Esq., B.A., B.L.,
MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

SECRETARIAT
28 MAY 1927
MUZRAI
D. 1721

To

B. Sreenivasa Iyengar Esq., B.A., B.L., Muz. 304-25-3
Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

7737
27.5.27

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.1563/Muz.304-25-2 dated 4 June 1926 requesting to send up a record of what the compromise is in the matter of the dispute about the the distribution of Tirtham on the occasion of the Rathotsavam in the Sri Venkataramanaswamy temple at Sampige, in the Gubbi Taluk, I have the honour to submit herewith copy of letter No.A.C.1086 of 20-21 dated 29th April 1927 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, stating that the parties have not executed any document specifying the terms of the compromise.

From the statements recorded before the Amildar on 3-12- it will be seen that the distribution of Tirtham near the car on the Rathothsava day which was wanted by the Sri Vaishnavas has been done, as agreed to, by all the devotees of the temple. The only difference now between the parties is with regard to the question as to whom the 2nd Thirtha i.e., the one after Agra Thirtha should be given, the Smarthas requiring it to be given to the local Jois while the Sri Vaishnavas want it to be given to the eldest persons present among the four Sri Vaishnava families residing at the place.

In the meeting held on 1-4-27 the Dharmadarsis have however resolved that the Jois of the place should be given the 2nd thirtha according to Mamool. The Deputy Commissioner recommends the acceptance of this resolution and states that the party, if any, aggrieved by the arrang establish its right in a civil court.

In the circumstances mentioned by the Deputy Commis

I request that his recommendation may be kind

The connected records are herein enclosed and kind return.

I have the honour to
Sir,
Your most obedient ser

For Muzrai Commissioner.

VSn

Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No.C.1171/25-26 dated 15-6-2 calling for the submission of the records of compromise effected among the devotees of Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Sampige, Gubbi Taluk, in the matter of the dispute about the distribution of Tirtham on the occasion of the Rathotsavam at the place, I have the honour to state that the parties concerned have not given or executed any document specifying the terms of any sort of compromise in the matter. When I visited the place in Feb. last during my Huzur Jamabandy of the Taluk and enquired into the affirs the Dharmadarsis of the temple expressed their view that the long standing practice obtaining in the institution viz., to distribute Agrathirtha to Kadanbiyavaru (if he is present on the occasion) then to the Jois of the place and then

to all others may be continued in future also. They submitted a resolution to this effect.

I recommend that this resolution of the Dharmadarsis be accepted and further beg to state that if any one is dissatisfied with the arrangement he may establish his right by a civil suit

The copy of the resolution dated 1-4-1927 referred to and the connected records ending with the Amildar's No. Muz.73/2 27 dated 20-4-27 accompany.

C o p y.

For Muzrai Commissioner.

VSM.

Letter O.C.1086/20-21 dated 14th April 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"Adverting to your letter No. Dis1/Mun.413-3/-3 dated 5/ 1t March 1921 from the Secretary to Government (copy of which is enclosed on page 16 in the connected file sent herewith) in re. the dispute that arose among the devotees of Sri Venkataramanaswami at Sampige, Gubbi Taluk, about the distribution of ~~xxx~~ "Tirtham" ---- during the occasion of the Rathotsavam, I have the honor to state that it is reported by the Amildar that the Rathotsavam which had not been celebrated for four years continuously on account of the dispute in question was successfully celebrated during the two -- years 23-24 and 24-25 without any hitch and that on these two --- occasions Tirtham was distributed near the car as agreed to by all. The Amildar has recorded the statements of some of the important - persons of the place and these are placed in the records (pages 20 to 23). Though there has been some difference of opinion expressed by these persons as to the practice which existed before, nobody has taken objection to distribute 'Thirtham" near the car and this

this practice has been brought into effe for the
as stated above

The Amildar in his report dated 19-5-1924 and 4th June recommends that the above practice may be allowed to be continued in future also. As desired in Huzrai Secretary's letter of the 7/11th March 1921 I beg to submit the records for further orders Government."

"C o p y"

for Huzrai Commissioner.

S. VI G 34]

UBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 1721

Section Muz

File No. 304-25

Serial No. 4

eneral and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue.... 28-6-27

Read—

Para 2 of this office

gned by Mr M. Venkatesa Iyengar

letter no 3184/muz 41

dy 8th march 1927 calling

for further report in con-

nection with the dispute

among the devotees

Sri Venkataramana Sv

temple at Sampige in

the Subbi Taluk about

the distribution of Tirtha

near the Car on the

occasion of Rath [illegible]

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon No

letter no. C. Dy 20 m
May 1927 from the
Dist Comr in my Sore
Reporting that the distribute
of Tirtha near the car
on the Ratotsava day
which was wanted by
Vaishnavas has been
done as agreed to by
the devotees, and that
it resolved in a meeting
of Dharmadarsis on 14
1927
was as recommended by the
Dy. Comr, Agra Tirtha
may be given to Kadambi
family, 2d Tirtha to
Sthalajois, and Theu, to Theus
leaving the ~~aggrieved~~ party if any
aggrieved by this arrangement
to establish its rights
in a Court of Law.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

order
of
Recorded.

2393 Encl file. 30/6/27

8 28-6-27

WD 1883—GPB—1,000,00—21/12.